

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/894,036 | 06/28/2001 | Masachika Takata | P/1071-1395 | 5499 |
| 32172 | 7590 07/28/2003 | | | |
| DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL. NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | YOON, TAE H | |
| | | | | |
| NEW Tolds, | , 111 10000 2/11 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1714 | : [|
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003 | 4 |
| | | | | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Summary | 09/894,036 | TAKATA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| - 11111110 0177 111 | Tae H Yoon | 1714 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | on | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/a | re withdrawn from consideration | 1. |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers | and/or election requirement. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E | xaminer. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by | the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objecti | on to the drawing(s) be held in abey | vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed or | n is: a)□ approved b)□ e | disapproved by the Examiner. |
| If approved, corrected drawings are require | ed in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | |
| Certified copies of the priority do | cuments have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | cuments have been received in A | Application No |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for the action | onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d | • | |
| a) The translation of the foreign langua | • • | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for o | domestic priority under 35 U.S.C | . §§ 120 and/or 121. |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | 948) 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | ffice Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 |

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a ceramic slurry composition and green sheet thereof, classified in class 524, subclass 401+.
- II. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to a method of making a ceramic slurry composition, classified in class 523, subclass 347+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the instant product such as a water-soluble acrylic binder can be made by an emulsion polymerization.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Meilman on July 23, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-18.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim. remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hessel et al (WO 94/07808).

Hessel et al teach an aqueous casting composition comprising 75-95 wt.% of (meth)acrylic acid esters and 5-25 wt.% of unsaturated carboxylic acids and green ceramic film thereof in abstract and examples. Emulsion copolymer has an average particle size of 75-150 nm which encompasses the instant inertial square radius. Acrylic copolymers of said examples inherently possess the instant weight average molecular weight and the relationship of the claim 2 evidenced by the same or similar average particle size of the emulsion copolymer. Said relationship of the claim 2 is an empirical

Art Unit: 1714

formular and applicant has a burden of proof that the examples of Hessel et al do not yield such relationship. The instant (meth)acrylates are taught in the bridging pp on page 2 and 3. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hessel et al (WO 94/07808) in view of Miyazaki et al (JP 11-268959) and further in view of Sambrook et al (US 5,772,953) or Masaki et al (US 6,004,705).

Miyazaki et al teach a ceramic slurry composition comprising an acrylic copolymer having a number average molecular weight of 5,000-300,000 which overlaps the instant weight average molecular weight in abstract. The use of a salt form of an acrylic copolymer in order to increase water-solubility is well known in the art as taught by Sambrook et al (col. 2, lines 10-14) and Masaki et al (col. 17, lines 58-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize a copolymer having the instantly recited weight average molecular weight in Hessel et al since Hessel et al teach the same or similar copolymer having the same inertial square radius and since the use of a copolymer having the instantly recited weight average molecular weight in making ceramic green sheet is well known as taught by Miyazaki et al, and further to utilize a salt form of an acrylic copolymer in Hessel et al thereof since the use of a salt form of an acrylic copolymer in order to increase water-solubility is well known in the art as taught by Sambrook et al and Masaki et al absent showing otherwise.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,036 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY July 24, 2003